

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B/S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,241	08/05/2003	Zhen Zhang	58369 (71699) 6657	
21874	7590 03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			. MILLER, MARINA I	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1631	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	10/635,241	ZHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marina Lebedeva	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/18/	<u>2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-111 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-111 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

In the restriction requirement mailed 12/17/2004, applicant was required to elect a single species. The examiner intended that applicant elects one species from EACH of the groups listed. As applicant elected the entirety of a single group in the response filed 1/18/2005, it appears that the previous requirement was not clearly elucidated. The examiner regrets the confusion and inconvenience to applicant. Applicant is hereby required to elect a single species from EACH of the following groups A-H. Applicant is reminded that the combination of species elected must be fully supported and enabled by the originally filed disclosure, and must not represent new matter. Election of an entire group (e.g., "A") or mere election of a claim or group of claims (e.g., 17, 53, and 94) and/or an election which fails to elect ONE species from EACH group will be considered non-responsive.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) elect one learning algorithm, from among those recited, for example, in claims 3, 4, 38, 39, 79, and 80.
- B) elect one training analysis, from among those recited, for example, in claims 5-7, 40-43, and 81-84.
- C) elect one biological state class, from among those recited, for example, in claims 10-16, 46-52, and 87-93.
- D) elect one candidate biomarker, from among those recited, for example, in claims 17, 53, and 94.

Art Unit: 1631

- E) elect one component, from among those recited, for example, in claims 19, 55, and 96.
- F) elect one expression profile assay, from among those recited, for example, in claims 21-26, 57-62, and 98-103.
- G) elect one binding partner, from among those recited, for example, in claims 29, 65, and 106.
- H) elect one assay to measure level of data elements, from among those recited, for example, in claims 32-33, 67-68, and 108-109.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of groups A-H above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, 1, 2, 8, 9, 18, 20, 27-28, 30-31, 34-37, 44, 45, 54, 56, 63-64, 66, 69-78, 85-86, 95, 97, 104-105, 107, 110-111 are generic.

Species of group A, learning algorithms are divergent because profiles represented by the data sets are different and independent from each other. Data generated by one type of algorithm is expected to be different from data generated by any other type of algorithms.

Species of group B, a vector machine analysis, a linear discriminating analysis, and a unified maximum separation analysis algorithms are divergent because they are separate arts and data for each analysis are independent from each other.

Species of group C, biological states are patentably distinct because they are structurally unrelated, each has a distinct structure and function, therefore data for each state are independent.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,241

Art Unit: 1631

Species of group D, biomarkers such as a diagnostic, a risk of developing, a risk of recurrence, and stage of disease are divergent because they are structurally and functionally different, and data generated from one type of profile is different from data generated by any other type of profile.

Species of group E, nucleic acids, proteins, polypeptides, peptides, carbohydrates are unrelated because they have different chemical structure and function, therefore data for each component are independent.

Species of group F, expression profile assays are patentably distinct because they are structurally unrelated, and each has a distinct chemical composition and function, therefore data for each profile are independent.

Species of group G, binding partners are separate arts, they are structurally and functionally distinct and data for each combination are independent from each other.

Species of group H, SELDI and immunoassay are divergent because they are separate arts and data for each analysis are independent from each other.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1631

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Miller whose telephone number is (571)272-6101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571)272-0718: The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Marina Miller Examiner Art Unit 1631

MM

MARJORIE MORAN PATENT EXAMINES Mayour a Moran